

## Republic of the Philippines Supreme Court Manila

SUPRE	E COUNT OF THE PHILIPPINES
MI	MARTING
K	MAR 1 0 2015
	TO CELLA TELLA
TIME:	9,61

## **FIRST DIVISION**

## NOTICE

Sirs/Mesdames:

Please take notice that the Court, First Division, issued a Resolution

dated February 16, 2015 which reads as follows:

"G.R. No. 215135 (Simon of Cyrene Children's Rehabilitation and Development Foundation, Inc./Mary Mediatrix V. Villanueva v. Julie D. Arteta). – The petitioners' motion for an extension of thirty (30) days within which to file a petition for review on certiorari is GRANTED, counted from the expiration of the reglementary period.

After a judicious review of the records, the Court resolves to **DENY** the instant petition and **AFFIRM** the July 1, 2014 Decision<sup>1</sup> and October 8, 2014 Resolution<sup>2</sup> of the Court of Appeals (CA) in CA-G.R. SP No. 134267 for failure of Simon of Cyrene Children's Rehabilitation and Development Foundation, Inc. and/or its President Mary Mediatrix V. Villanueva (petitioners) to show that the CA committed any reversible error in holding that respondent Julie D. Arteta (Arteta) was a regular employee and that she was illegally dismissed.

As correctly ruled by the CA, Arteta was a regular employee of petitioners having performed services which are usually necessary or desirable in their usual trade or business since 1988 until her employment was severed in April 2012. In this relation, the CA also correctly held that

- over - two (2) pages ..... 14

<sup>1</sup> *Rollo*, pp. 62-77. Penned by Associate Justice Celia C, Librea-Leagogo with Associate Justices Franchito N. Diamante and Melchor Q.C. Sadang, concurring.

<sup>2</sup> Id. at 91-92.

Arteta's termination from work was illegal as it was done without procedural due process and without just cause. It is settled that factual findings of the labor tribunals, as affirmed by the CA, are generally binding and conclusive upon this Court,<sup>3</sup> and are not to be disturbed unless they fall under the recognized exceptions,<sup>4</sup> which do not obtain in this case.

2

## SO ORDERED."

Very truly yours,

EDGAR⁄O. ARICHETA Division Clerk of Court, 1 14

THE LAW OFFICES OF IAN LL. MACASINAG AND ASSOCIATES Counsel for Petitioners M & M Bldg., First Park Daraga 4501 Albay Court of Appeals (x) Manila (CA-G.R. SP No. 134267)

Atty. Amore Rex B. Raneses Counsel for Respondent Rm. 2-210, Los Baños Bldg. Peñaranda St., Legazpi City 4500

NATIONAL LABOR RELATIONS COMMISSION PPSTA Bldg., Banawe St. 1100 Quezon City (NLRC LAC No. 04-001394-13; NLRC RAB-V Case No. 10-00177-12)

Public Information Office (x) Library Services (x) Supreme Court (For uploading pursuant to A.M. No. 12-7-1-SC)

Judgment Division (x) Supreme Court

SR

क्षेत्र होत्व हो त

 <sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Acevedo v. Advanstar Company, Inc., 511 Phil. 279, 287 (2005).
<sup>4</sup> Cirtek Employees Labor Union-Federation of Free Workers v. Cirtek Electronics, Inc., G.R. No. 190515, June 6, 2011, 650 SCRA 656, 660.